UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PEDRO A. ALMANZAR,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ZAM REALTY MANAGENT CO., LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

1:23-CV-8301 (LTS)

ORDER DIRECTING PAYMENT OF FEES OR AMENDED IFP APPLICATION

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff Pedro A. Almanzar brings this action *pro se*. To proceed with a civil action in this court, a plaintiff must either pay \$402 in fees – a \$350 filing fee plus a \$52 administrative fee – or, to request authorization to proceed without prepayment of fees, submit a completed and signed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP") application. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914, 1915.

"The ability to proceed IFP is a privilege provided for the benefit of indigent persons." *Cuoco v. BOP*, 328 F. Supp. 2d 463, 467 (S.D.N.Y. 2004) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). Thus, "the court system depends on the honesty and forthrightness of applicants to ensure that the privilege is not abused." *Id.* (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). The Court must dismiss an action in which a person applies to proceed IFP if their "allegation of poverty is untrue." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(A). This statutory provision "serves the purpose of preventing abuse of the judicial system by weed[ing] out the litigants who falsely understate their net worth in order to obtain [IFP] status when they are not entitled to that status based on their true net worth." *Vann v. Comm'r of N.Y.C. Dep't of Corr.*, 496 F. App'x 113, 115 (2d Cir. 2012) (summary order) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted, first alteration in original). The IFP statute, 28 U.S.C. § 1915, "neither requires a litigant to demonstrate absolute destitution, nor requires dismissal for inaccuracies, misstatements, or minor misrepresentations made in good

faith." *Id.* (internal quotation marks and citations omitted). Dismissal under Section 1915(e)(2)(A) is warranted, however, "where a plaintiff conceals or misrepresents his or her financial assets or history in bad faith to obtain [IFP] status." *Id.* "[D]eliberate concealment of income in order to gain access to a court without prepayment of . . . fees" is an example of such bad faith. *Id.*

Plaintiff alleges, in his IFP application, that he is unemployed, and it appears that he indicates that he is presently earning no pay or wages. He does not provide the last date of his employment or the monthly amount of his wages from his last employment. Plaintiff asserts that he receives pension, annuity, or insurance payments, as well unspecified public benefits, but he does not describe those sources, and does not specify the amount he receives from each of those sources. He also does not indicate whether he has any money in a bank account. He further does not show whether he owns any other assets and, if so, their values. Plaintiff does not list his monthly expenses; does not show whether he supports anyone else financially and, if so, who and the amount of the support he provides; and he does not state whether he has any other financial obligations and, if so, what they are and the amounts he pays for those obligations.

Is it unclear whether Plaintiff is unable to pay the fees to bring this action because he does not answer all of his IFP application's questions, specifically, those concerning: (1) the date of his last his employment; (2) his monthly amount of wages from his last employment; (3) his present sources of income and the amounts of income that he earns from those sources; (4) whether he has any money in a bank account and, if so, how much; (5) whether he owns any other assets and, if so, their values; (6) whether he supports anyone else financially and, if so, who and the amount of support he provides; (7) whether he has any monthly expenses and, if so,

what they are and their amounts; and (8) whether he has any other financial obligations and, if

so, what they are and the amounts he pays for those obligations.

Accordingly, within 30 days of the date of this order, Plaintiff must either pay the \$402 in

fees or fully complete, sign, and submit the attached amended IFP application. If Plaintiff

submits the amended IFP application, it should be labeled with docket number 1:23-CV-8301

(LTS), and address the deficiencies described above by providing facts to establish that he is

unable to pay the fees to bring this action. Plaintiff should answer all of the questions in his

amended IFP application. If the Court grants the amended IFP application, Plaintiff will be

permitted to proceed without prepayment of fees. See § 1915(a)(1). The Court warns Plaintiff

that the Court must dismiss this action if it determines that his "allegation of poverty is untrue."

§ 1915(e)(2)(A).

No summonses shall issue at this time. If Plaintiff complies with this order, this action

shall be processed in accordance with the procedures of the Clerk's Office. If he fails to comply

with this order within the time allowed, the Court will dismiss this action.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would

not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. Cf.

Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that appellant demonstrates

good faith when seeking review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

October 5, 2023

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN

Chief United States District Judge

3